

REGULAR MEETING OF THE OKLAHOMA WORKERS' COMPENSATION COMMISSION

APPEALS

Friday, June 24, 2022
9:00 a.m.
Commission Chambers
1915 N. Stiles Ave.
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma
www.wcc.ok.gov

AGENDA

CALL TO ORDER **Commission's Chair, Chairman Russell**
ROLL CALL **Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly**
BUSINESS **Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly**

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE BY CHAIRMAN

THE FOLLOWING MATTERS ARE PRESENTED FOR CONSIDERATION AND ACTION, IF ANY, DEEMED APPROPRIATE BY THE COMMISSION

A. MINUTES:

- The drafted Minutes of the Regular Appeals Meeting of May 20, 2022 will be considered for approval.

B. Appeal Hearings before the Commission En Banc from Orders Issued by the Commission's Administrative Law Judges

The hearings before the Commission en banc will be conducted pursuant to the authority and jurisdiction of the Administrative Workers' Compensation Act, Title 85A O.S. § 1 et seq., and the Workers' Compensation Commission's Permanent Rules, OAC 810. The Commissioners may recess for lunch.

The procedure for the hearings before the Commission en banc is as follows:

- Each side will be allowed ten (10) minutes for oral arguments.
- The appellant will present first. Appellant may divide his or her ten minutes for argument, allowing a portion of that time for rebuttal.
- Both parties are subject to questioning by Commissioners.

1. James Crittenden Jr. v. MITF, File #CM3F-2019-07602A

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Blodgett. McCaffrey & Associates is the firm of record for the Claimant and Stefan Wenzel is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

2. Donnie Deramus v. City of Tulsa (OWN RISK #10435), File #CM3-2019-03320J

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Susan H. Jones is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Travis R. Colt is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

3. Kathryn Headrick v. MITF, File #CM3F-2019-04836Y

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Blodgett. Ray Lahann is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Stefan Wenzel is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

4. Joseph Handley v. Nationwide Fixture Installation Inc. and Hanover American Insurance Co., File #CM3-2019-00808J

Both parties filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Eric W. Quandt is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Rose M. Sloan is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

5. Billy Pugh v. City of Tulsa (OWN RISK #10435), File #CM3-2015-05784X

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. J. Mike Lawter is the attorney of record for the Claimant. Travis R. Colt is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

6. Sango Whitehorn v. Limco Piedmont Inc. and Travelers Property & Casualty Co. of America, File #CM3-2020-02974A

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Lawyer. Donald E. Smolen and M. Scott Ash are the attorneys of record for the Claimant. Matthew R. Defehr is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

7. Douglas Nail v. Collins Electric Co. of Oklahoma Inc. and National Fire Insurance Co. of Hartford, File #CM3-2018-02036J

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Lawyer. Kevin B. White is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Clark P. Bushyhead is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the

Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

C. Commission Consideration of Adoption of Final Order in the Following Cases:

1. Earl Appleberry v. Western Flyer Express LLC and United States Fire Insurance Co., File #CM3-2019-07029Q

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Timothy Kent appeared for the Claimant and Travis A. Fulkerson appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on November 19, 2021. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission ordered additional briefing and continued the matter.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action, adopting an order as proposed or as modified at the hearing, or continuing the matter.

2. John Rosson v. Roundtree Automotive Group LLC and State National Insurance Co., File #CM3-2019-03668K

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Michael R. Green appeared for the Claimant. Blake Farris appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on December 17, 2021. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action, adopting an order as proposed or as modified at the hearing, or continuing the matter.

3. J. Asuncion (Soto) Abonza v. Exterran Holdings Inc. and American Zurich Insurance Co., File #CM3-2015-08100F

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge McMillin. Bret A. Unterschuetz appeared for the Claimant and Michael Fagan appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on April 29, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action, adopting an order as proposed or as modified at the hearing, or continuing the matter.

4. Rita Morales v. Walmart Stores Inc. and Walmart Associates Inc. (OWN RISK), File #CM3-2015-07958X

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Susan H. Jones appeared for the Claimant and Jordan S. Ensley appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on April 29, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action, adopting an order as proposed or as modified at the hearing, or continuing the matter.

5. Tracy Minor v. Hobby Lobby Stores Inc. and Indemnity Insurance Co. of North America, File #CM3-2020-05570X

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Curtin. Joseph C. Biscone II and Emily Biscone appeared for the Claimant and James C. Ferguson appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on April 29, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral arguments, and deliberating, Chairman Russell moved to take preliminary action to remand this cause for the taking of additional evidence, in the form of an independent medical examiner, and instructed the Commission's Appellate Council to draft an order, with the Commission's reasoning, to be adopted at a future meeting.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action, adopting an order as proposed or as modified at the hearing, or continuing the matter.

6. Julian Slater v. MITF, File #CM3F-2019-00356F

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Bob Burke appeared for the Claimant and Michael A. Fagan appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on May 20, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Chairman Russell moved to take preliminary action to affirm the decision of the Administrative Law Judge's Order and instructed the law clerk or other staff member to draft a proposed order with finding of facts and conclusions of law, to be considered for continued action at a future meeting.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

7. Raymond Miller v. La Quinta Inn, Global Core Stillwater LLC, and Employers Preferred Insurance Co. (FKA AMCOMP PREFERRED), File #CM3-2020-00616F

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Michael R. Green appeared for the Claimant and Kelley Bodell appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on May 20, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

8. Wanda Gibby v. MITF, File #CM3F-2019-07638F

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Blodgett. J. Kord Hammert appeared for the Claimant and Michael A. Fagan appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on May 20, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

ADJOURNMENT.....Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly