

**Oklahoma Pardon and Parole Board
Voting for April 2016**

Table 1. Parole Docket, April 2016*

	Docket Total		Favorable Votes	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Non-Violent	189	68.5%	64	33.9%
Violent	87	31.5%	1	1.1%
TOTAL	276	100%	65	23.5%

* Ineligible not included in analysis (3 or 1.1%)

Table 2. Favorable Votes, by Member (n=276)

	Non-Violent (189)		Violent (87)	
	Number of Favorable Votes	Percent	Number of Favorable Votes	Percent
Vanessa Price (Chair)	64	33.9%	3	3.4%
Patricia High (Vice-Chair)	55	29.1	7	8.0
Robert "Brett" Macy	**	**	**	**
Thomas Gillert	68	36.0	16	18.4
William Latimer	66	34.9	20	23.0
BOARD CONSENSUS TOTAL	64	33.9%	1	1.1%

Table 3. Protests, by Type (n=276)

	Victim	District Attorney	Delegates Supporting the Offender
Non-Violent	0	27	23
Violent	0	6	1
TOTAL PROTESTS	0	33	24

Table 4. Conditions of Parole for Non-Violent Offenders* (n=64)

	Number	Percent
Monthly Urinalysis (MUAs)	53	82.8%
Substance Abuse Treatment	52	81.2
Psychological Counseling	14	21.9
AG or Tribal Batterer's Program	13	20.3
Sober Living Facility	7	10.9
Interlock Device	6	9.4
Cognitive Behavioral Treatment	3	4.7
Anger Management	3	4.7
Community Level Work Release	3	4.7
Battle Buddies	3	4.7
Thinking for a Change	2	3.1
Education/GED	1	1.6
Restitution	1	1.6
Career Tech	1	1.6

*The Board may impose or recommend stipulations, conditions, and/or limitations in the granting or the recommendation of parole. These may include programs, services and/or limitations. Stipulations must be completed prior to release from prison. Conditions must be completed post- release while on parole. Limitations may include urinalysis or interlock. Offenders may be ordered to more than one stipulation, condition and/or limitation of parole.

Table 5. Number of Conditions of Parole per Non-Violent Offender (n=64)

	Number	Percent
Zero	11	17.2%
One	0	0.0
Two	13	20.3
Three	28	43.7
Four	8	12.5
Five	4	6.2
Total	64	100%
Average Number of Conditions (<i>per non-violent offender</i>)	2.5	
Range	5	