



Amendment of Solicitation

Date of Issuance: 07/26/19

Solicitation No. 3450004858

Requisition No. 19-SPR-0023

Amendment No. 1

Hour and date specified for receipt of offers is changed: No Yes, to: _____ 4:00 PM CST

Pursuant to OAC 260:115-7-30(d), this document shall serve as official notice of amendment to the solicitation identified above. Such notice is being provided to all suppliers to which the original solicitation was sent.

Suppliers submitting bids or quotations shall acknowledge receipt of this solicitation amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation as follows:

- (1) Sign and return a copy of this amendment with the solicitation response being submitted; or,
- (2) If the supplier has already submitted a response, this acknowledgement must be signed and returned prior to the solicitation deadline. All amendment acknowledgements submitted separately shall have the solicitation number and bid opening date printed clearly on the front of the envelope.

ISSUED BY and RETURN TO:

U.S. Postal Delivery:

Oklahoma Dept. of Transportation
Attn: Cheryl Emerson, CPO
200 NE 21st Street, Room 3C6
Oklahoma City, OK 73105

Cheryl Emerson
Contracting Officer

405-522-3209
Phone Number

or
Personal or Common Carrier Delivery: cemerson@odot.org
E-Mail Address

,OK -

Description of Amendment:

a. This is to incorporate the following:

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

- 1. PDF Page 30 of 62 – Section 3.6 Data Accuracy. Please clarify the methodology that ODOT will use to measure this requirement. Additionally, please clarify whether the +/- 5mph requirement refers to absolute or non-absolute speed error, speed bias or some other value.

Answer: Speed to be verified by any permanent ODOT speed reporting station that falls in a segment and by field verification from regular ODOT speed studies with spot checks as deemed necessary.

- 2. PDF Page 30 of 62 – Section 3.5 Data Transfer and Accessibility. Please define “Congestion Index”.

Answer: You may remove the Congestion Index from the requirements.

- 3. PDF Page 25 of 62 - Section 3.1.1 Linear Referencing System. The third paragraph on consistency with NPMRDS seems to be incomplete and needs clarification.

Answer: Looking to see if the point locations for the data collections are similar to the NPMRDS point location for data collections.

- 4. PDF Page 31 of 62 - Section 3.9 Origin and Destination Data. Please clarify what level granularity (e.g. TAZ or block group) is desired. Also, what kind of analysis in the Origin-Destination web portal is required?

Answer: Census Block Group.

5. Q1: Page 30 of 62 – Section 3.6 Data Accuracy. Please clarify the methodology that ODOT will use to measure this requirement. Additionally, please clarify whether the +/- 5mph requirement refers to absolute or non-absolute speed error, speed bias or some other value.

Answer: Speed to be verified by any permanent ODOT speed reporting station that falls in a segment and by field verification from regular ODOT speed studies with spot checks as deemed necessary.

6. Page 12 of 62 – Section E.3.6. For the thumb drive, will pdf suffice? Shall the thumb drive include the Appendix B?

Answer: Bidder response must be submitted in an original paper format. Bidders may include a thumb drive with a pdf version of their response. The thumb drive should include all documents that comprise your response, including Appendix B, the Pricing Sheet.

7. Page 14 of 62 – Section E.11 Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT). Where shall this question and response be included in submittal?

Answer: A revised Pricing Sheet will be included with Amendment Number 1 to include a place for Bidder's to provide a response for the Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT) question.

8. Q4: Appendix G Reference Questionnaire. Will a scanned pdf signed copy from clients suffice for paper submittal?

Answer: Yes

9. Q5: Page 16 of 62 – Section H.1 Pricing Submission. A reference to an excel Appendix B is mentioned, however no excel version was provided. Can you please provide the excel version?

Answer: A revised Pricing Sheet will be provided to Bidders in an Excel version.

10. Page 15 of 62 – Section F Checklist. Shall this checklist be including in submittal or is it informational only? If it is to be included, please provide location to include in submittal.

Answer: The Checklist is primarily for information purposes but may be included in your response should you choose to do so. If included, this page should be located towards the beginning of your response package.

11. Page 12 of 62 – Section E.3.6. In reference to paper copies, please confirm only (1) original paper copy is to be submitted?

Answer: One (1) original paper copy of your response should be submitted in a sealed envelope with a notation on the outside stating the Solicitation Number, and time & date of the bid closing. If you choose, you may include a thumb drive with a pdf version of your response in the sealed envelope.

12. Under Section 3.8, can you explain how many routes are being considered and how often each would be called (e.g., once a minute, once and hour, etc.)?

Answer: Routes would be called at the same rate and times as the speed data and we are currently expecting 250 routes to be used during this period

13. As it relates to section 3.3.3, Data Accuracy, INRIX interprets this to mean 90% of the time during daytime hours and 70% of the time during nighttime hours we will report a confidence value at or above the threshold for speed estimates derived from real-time observations. Please confirm. How does ODOT propose to handle weekends?

Answer: ODOT would allow weekends to be handled the same as "nighttime" hours

14. Also under 3.6, What methodology is ODOT proposing for determining what is the true/accurate speed on a road segment for a given time? How does ODOT propose to handle differences across road classes (i.e. +/- 5 MPH is much different when speed limit is 70 vs 35.

Answer: True/Accuracy will be handle the same as Q1 and Q5, ODOT ITS and SAPM are okay with all roads being held to the +/- 5 mph standard, however the MPOs might want a smaller allowable variance for their lower speed roadways

15. Would the Department/ODOT be willing to accept the following language for the last sentence in paragraph three of Section 7 (Proposal Evaluation and Award Process) of Appendix A: "In the event an agreement is cancelled by the Department as a result of the proof of concept phase, ODOT is granted a perpetual license to any data collected by the Proposer as well as any documentation completed by the Proposer pertaining to this ODOT project for the uses provided in Section 5 (Use of Data and Data Ownership)"?

Answer: Yes

16. The Solicitation Package is currently silent on the limitation of liability. Will the Department be willing to accept a mutual liability cap of up to the total contract value?

Answer: Yes, ODOT will be willing to accept a mutual liability cap equal to the total contract value upon review of Consultant responses.

b. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

Supplier Company Name (**PRINT**)

Date

Authorized Representative Name (**PRINT**)

Title

Authorized Representative Signature