



State of Oklahoma  
Office of Management and Enterprise Services  
Central Purchasing Division

Amendment of Solicitation

Date of Issuance: 03/06/2014

Solicitation No. 2920000185

Requisition No. 2920003563

Amendment No. 3

Hour and date specified for receipt of offers is changed: ☒ No ☐ Yes, to: 3:00 PM CST/CDT

Pursuant to OAC 580:16-7-30(d), this document shall serve as official notice of amendment to the Solicitation identified above. Such notice is being provided to all suppliers to which the original solicitation was sent.

Suppliers submitting bids or quotations shall acknowledge receipt of this solicitation amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation as follows:

- (1) Sign and return a copy of this amendment with the solicitation response being submitted; or,
- (2) If the supplier has already submitted a response, this acknowledgement must be signed and returned prior to the solicitation deadline. All amendment acknowledgements submitted separately shall have the solicitation number and bid opening date printed clearly on the front of the envelope.

**ISSUED BY and RETURN TO:**

**U.S. Postal Delivery or Personal or Common  
Carrier Delivery:**

Office of Management and Enterprise Services,  
Central Purchasing Division  
Will Rogers Building  
2401 N. Lincoln Blvd., Suite 116  
Oklahoma City, OK 73105

Gerald Elrod  
Contracting Officer

( 405 ) - 521 - 4058  
Phone Number

Gerald.Elrod@omes.ok.gov  
E-Mail Address

**Description of Amendment:**

a. This is to incorporate the following:

The answers to the Supplier questions below, when in conflict with the scope of the RFP, modify the RFP to be consistent with the answers provided.

Question 1: What is the period of performance for the project? (During the pre-proposal/process education meeting last week, we were told to request this information.)

**Answer 1: There is no specified time period within which the project must be completed. It is requested that proposals specify the amount of time estimated to be necessary.**

Question 2: What is the budget? (During the pre-proposal/process education meeting last week, we were told to request this information.)

**Answer 2: \$200,000, per the amendment.**

Question 3: Can the references for the 3 critical individuals overlap each other? In other words, can the same project be referenced for two individuals or, conversely, can the same client provide references for two different individuals on the same or different projects?

**Answer 3: Yes, the references can overlap as long as each individual has unique references.**

Question 4: No form appears in RFP for certification regarding debarment, suspension, and other

#### **Description of Amendment - continuing**

responsibility matters. Can one be provided? (During the pre-proposal/process education meeting last week, we were told a form is required and to request the form if it is not in the RFP.)

**Answer 4: The first three pages of the RFP packet contain the forms you need to complete. Any other forms required will be handled in the pre-award process.**

Question 5: The Cost Proposal Form is listed as Attachment I, however the form found on the link for Attachment I is titled Attachment L – is this the correct form to use?

**Answer 5: The title of the file named “Attachment I –Cost Proposal” should be “Attachment I” not “Attachment L”. This is the correct form.**

Question 6: In general terms, when is the project expected to be awarded? After award, what is DEQ's expectation for project completion?

**Answer 6: The award date will be determined by OMES processes. As noted above, there is no specified time period within which the project must be completed. It is requested that proposals specify the amount of time estimated to be necessary.**

Question 7: What type of weighting or scoring system will be used on the initial proposals (i.e. are all sections equally weighted?) or How many criteria are going to be used to evaluate? what are the criteria?

**Answer 7: The evaluation criteria are listed in section D.1 of the RFP. No specifics regarding weight will be given.**

Question 8: Has DEQ or anyone made initial evaluations, studies, or recommendations for program efficiencies? Can we have access to this information?

**Answer 8: No initial evaluations, studies or recommendations for program efficiencies have been made.**

Question 9: What did the Municipal League want from the efficiency study?

**Question 9: Both OML and ORWA had ample opportunity for input into formulation of the solicitation proposal. See also # 13, below.**

Question 10: What did Rural water want from the efficiency study?

**Answer 10: See preceding answer.**

Question 11: Is there a developed list of efficiencies that have been implemented over the past 10 years?

**Answer 11: There is not a developed list of efficiencies that have been implemented over the past ten years.**

Question 12: How many reports are available for review that relate to this project?

**Answer 12: There are no comprehensive reports that relate to this project. DEQ can provide**

**Description of Amendment - continuing**

information on the process for establishing fees and estimating state general appropriations needs.

Question 13: What is the final desired outcomes with this project. What questions does DEQ expect to have answered as a result of this project?

Answer 13: The outcomes and questions are fully described in subsection C, pages 10-11, of the solicitation package.

Question 14: What limitations does DEQ define with this project?

Answer 14: Question is unclear.

b. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Supplier Company Name (**PRINT**)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Authorized Representative Name (**PRINT**)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Title

\_\_\_\_\_  
Authorized Representative Signature