



Amendment of Solicitation

Date of Issuance: 3/3/16 Solicitation No. 8650000005
Requisition No. _____ Amendment No. 1

Hours and date specified for receipt of offers is changed: No Yes, to: _____ CST/CDT

Pursuant to OAC 580:15-4-5©, this document shall serve as official notice of amendment to the Solicitation identified above. Such notice is being provided to all suppliers to which the original solicitation was sent. Suppliers submitting bids or quotations shall acknowledge receipt of this solicitation amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation as follows:

- (1) Sign and return a copy of this amendment with the solicitation response being submitted; or,
- (2) If the supplier has already submitted a response, this acknowledgement must be signed and returned prior to the solicitation deadline. All amendment acknowledgements submitted separately shall have the solicitation number and bid opening date printed clearly on the front of the envelope.

ISSUED BY AND RETURN TO:

Office of Management and Enterprise Services
Central Purchasing Division
ISD Procurement Attn: **Ernest Graham**
5005 N. Lincoln Blvd., Suite 300
Oklahoma City, OK 73105
E-Mail Address: Ernest.Graham@omes.ok.gov

Description of Amendment:

a. This is to incorporate the following:

1. Question- Section E. 3.3 - Please confirm that the State requires (1) original hard-copy of the bid, and (4) duplicate copies, totaling (5) hard-copy documents. The RFP document has "seven (5)" written:

*E.3.3. Each Bidder must submit one (1) original hard-copy of the Bid and four (4) duplicate copies for a total of **seven (5) hard-copy documents**. In addition, each Bidder must submit two (2) copies of the Bid on CD, DVD, or thumb drive in a "machine readable" format. One (1) CD, DVD, or thumb drive shall be marked as the original and will be*

Answer- There should be a total five (5) hard-copy documents instead of seven.

2. Question - Section 5 -EITA Compliance -VPAT: Our company completed a VPAT form in 2014 and all reporting features/remarks and explanations are 100% transferable today in 2016.

Does the VPAT evaluation from 2014 expire, requiring a new test to be administered, or can the same test results from 2014 be used again for this 2016 bid?

Answer - Each potential solution provided is required to have a VPAT that addresses the accessibility of the specific solution being proposed. As technology and solutions evolve, a solution that met the VPAT standards in 2014 may or may not meet the standards for a VPAT in 2016, thus a new VPAT is required for each solution being proposed.

3. Question - C.3. Mandatory Technical Specifications/Requirements

Mandatory requirements are the minimum capabilities, features, and/or technical standards that must be met by the proposed solution. The Bidder shall clearly state their ability to meet the Minimum System (technical) requirements and will state how the requirements will be met, what assurances of success the proposed approach will provide.

Bidder shall provide a brief narrative describing installation and configuration requirements and the proposed method to meet the requirements of this RFP. Bidder should provide examples, samples, and or screenshots as applicable.

Is this statement defining additional responses we need to include, or simply what should be concluded/discussed within our complete response to Section C?

Answer - The statement is simply what should be concluded within your complete response to Section C