



**State of Oklahoma
Office of State Finance
Information Services Division**

Amendment of Solicitation

Date of Issuance: 04/10/2012 Solicitation No. 8300001049
 Requisition No. 8300019790 Amendment No. 4

Hours and date specified for receipt of offers is changed: No Yes, to: 05/01/2012 at 3:00PM CST/CDT

Pursuant to OAC 580:15-4-5©, this document shall serve as official notice of amendment to the Solicitation identified above. Such notice is being provided to all suppliers to which the original solicitation was sent. Suppliers submitting bids or quotations shall acknowledge receipt of this solicitation amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation as follows:

- (1) Sign and return a copy of this amendment with the solicitation response being submitted; or,
- (2) If the supplier has already submitted a response, this acknowledgement must be signed and returned prior to the solicitation deadline. All amendment acknowledgements submitted separately shall have the solicitation number and bid opening date printed clearly on the front of the envelope.

ISSUED BY AND RETURN TO:

Office of State Finance
 ISD Procurement Attn: Gai Hunter
 3115 N. Lincoln Blvd.
 Oklahoma City, OK 73105

Gai Hunter
 Contracting Officer
405-521-6480
 Phone Number
gai.hunter@osf.ok.gov
 E-Mail Address

Description of Amendment:

a. This is to incorporate the following:

Amendment No. 3

Answer 1) a.

"There is a difference. Attachment 1 represents the total # of payments received for all cases. Amendment 2 represents the total # of receipts. In other words an employer sends in a check for \$10,000 that contains a remittance advice for 50 different individuals/cases. Attachment 1 counts each one individually and reports 50 payments and Amendment 2 is only reporting it as 1, because it was physically only one receipt/check. This one payment, therefore, would create a difference between the two reports of 49. The same holds true for all transactions types. If someone made one transaction via credit card, but paid on 4 of their cases, then Attachment 1 counts it as 4 and Amendment 2 counts it as 1."

RFP Section H. PRICE AND COST

Each OCSR transaction;

"(An OCSR transaction is defined as a receipt accurately posted, with all the correct data elements, to an appropriate payor/payee account in OAS, or the successful resolution of an unidentified or undated receipt as set forth in the section in the Scope of Work titled "Unidentified Receipts" or "Undated Receipts" as appropriate.)"

Clarification Requested:

Based on the definition of a transaction/receipt provided in RFP Section H above, we are assuming that a transaction represents each accurately posted record provided on the daily batch file transfer (e.g. One check received from an employer that contains a remittance advice for 50 different individuals/cases would constitute 50 transactions/receipts). Can you please validate this assumption?

b. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

Supplier Company Name (**PRINT**)

Date

Authorized Representative Name (**PRINT**)

Title

Authorized Representative Signature



**State of Oklahoma
Office of State Finance
Information Services Division**

Solicitation

We are asking for clarification as the answer to question 1 in Amendment No. 3 refers to check-level records as 'receipts'.

Response to the clarification question: The assumption made by the writer of the question is a correct assumption.