



**State of Oklahoma
Office of Management and Enterprise
Services
Information Services Division**

Amendment of Solicitation

Date of Issuance: 8/9/2013 Solicitation No. 0900000096

Requisition No. _____ Amendment No. 1

Hours and date specified for receipt of offers is changed: No Yes, to: _____ CST/CDT

Pursuant to OAC 580:15-4-5©, this document shall serve as official notice of amendment to the Solicitation identified above. Such notice is being provided to all suppliers to which the original solicitation was sent. Suppliers submitting bids or quotations shall acknowledge receipt of this solicitation amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation as follows:

- (1) Sign and return a copy of this amendment with the solicitation response being submitted; or,
- (2) If the supplier has already submitted a response, this acknowledgement must be signed and returned prior to the solicitation deadline. All amendment acknowledgements submitted separately shall have the solicitation number and bid opening date printed clearly on the front of the envelope.

ISSUED BY AND RETURN TO:

Office of Management and Enterprise Services
ISD Procurement Attn: Sheri Keller
3115 N. Lincoln Blvd.
Oklahoma City, OK 73105

Sheri Keller
Procurement Officer
405 521-6480
Phone Number
Sheri.keller@omes.ok.gov
E-Mail Address

Description of Amendment:

a. This is to incorporate the following:

Kassandra Owens

Questions for Solicitation#: 0900000096

1. What is the scoring method for the RFP?

OMES Answer: The scoring method cannot be provided; however, the evaluation criterion is covered in section D. Evaluation of the solicitation.

2. What is the timeline for the process beyond August 26th?

OMES Answer: A timeline has not been established

3. Clarification on questions from the VPAT documents:

b. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

Supplier Company Name (**PRINT**) _____ Date _____

Authorized Representative Name (**PRINT**) _____ Title _____ Authorized Representative Signature _____



“Web-Based Internet Information and Applications VPAT”

· Page 1, First Question Box: “Criteria: A Meaningful text equivalent for every **non-text element** shall be provided...” Please explain and/or offer examples of a non-text element.

OMES Answer: Examples cannot be provided. The VPAT needs to be specific to the service/product that you are providing.

· Page 2, Fourth Question Box: “Criteria: Client-side image maps shall be provided instead of server-side image maps except where the regions cannot be defined with an available geometric shape.” Please explain this requirement.

OMES Answer: There is no further explanation of this requirement. The VPAT needs to be specific to the service/product that you are providing.

· Page 4, Second Question Box: “Criteria: (o) A method shall be provided that permits users to **skip repetitive navigation links**.” Please offer an example of this for clarification.

OMES Answer: Examples cannot be provided. The VPAT needs to be specific to the service/product that you are providing.

· Page 4, Sixth Question Box: “Criteria: (s) A link to **the agency’s** Web site accessibility policy (if existing) and contact information...” Please specify what agency.

OMES Answer: A specific agency has not been identified. As the solicitation stated this is a statewide contract to be used by education affiliates.

“Software Applications and Operating Systems VPAT”

· Page 2, Fourth Question Box: “Criteria: (f) Textual information **shall be provided through operating system functions** for displaying text.” Please give an example.

OMES Answer: Examples cannot be provided. The VPAT needs to be specific to the service/product that you are providing.

Page comment added by [Chris Moss](#)

Questions for Solicitation#: 0900000096

1. Please provide the number of years of SIS data conversion that should be included in the implementation cost.

OMES Answer: The question is unclear regarding the number of years for the data conversion. However, per section G.8 of the solicitation, on-going maintenance/support should be priced out for years one through five.

2. In Section G - Price, please clarify what is meant by "Horizontal Student Information System Software License" in items G.6. and G.7., and how does it differ from the "Student Information System Software License" in items G.4. and G.5.

OMES Answer: The program manager for this solicitation is out of the office. An answer will be provided ASAP.

Page comment added by [Jon Relvas](#)

Questions for Solicitation#: 0900000096

1a. Please confirm that you are asking for a District-hosted option (hosted on the District's hardware), State-hosted option (hosted on the State's hardware), and Vendor-hosted option (hosted in the Cloud as SaaS)?

OMES Answer: Yes the State of Oklahoma is asking for each of these options.

1b. If the State is requesting all three hosting options described in 1a, can the SIS vendor only provide hardware specifications for the District/State-hosted options but not pricing? Our experience is that most States have much better standardized, HW volume procurement vehicles than software providers.

OMES Answer: Yes it would be acceptable for the vendor to provide hardware specification for the district/state-hosted options but not pricing.

3. Given that some Districts will choose to opt-in and others will remain "as-is," we are assuming that the current State Reporting process will remain the same for the selected SIS (i.e. WAVE, etc.). --In other words, regardless of the hosting option selected by the State, the selected SIS will not operate outside the current collection/reporting process. Please confirm.

OMES Answer: Yes this is a correct assumption.

3. Does the funding mechanism for this RFP (with perhaps the exception of hosting) reside primarily with the District? In other words, Districts will have complete freedom to opt-in and, given they will be funding it, one of their primary decision criteria might be the pricing advantage obtained through this vehicle?

OMES Answer: Yes funding resides at the district level. Yes, the competitive pricing and pre negotiated terms and conditions of this contract vehicle will be advantageous to the districts.

4. Please confirm that only one SIS will be chosen through this RFP.

OMES Answer: The number of Student Information Systems to be put on contract has not been determined.