

Draft OERSSIRF Scoring Tool

Section One: Project Description Requirements

1. Did the applicant provide a comprehensive narrative description of the project?

Y / N

2. Did the applicant describe the geographic area(s) to be affected by the project, and identify the relationships between all participating organizations?

Y / N

3. Did the applicant describe the timeline for the project, and give benchmarks by which various components of the project will be accomplished?

Y / N

4. Did the applicant attach a spreadsheet showing the project budget, organized in reference to the benchmarks?

Y / N

**Section Two:
General Approval Requirements**

1. Does the application demonstrate compliance with the law? Y / N
2. Does the application demonstrate eligibility and a qualified purpose as defined in 63 OS 1-2512.1? Y / N
3. Does the applicant demonstrate that the project is needed in the area to be served and is sufficient, as proposed, to serve such needs? Y / N
4. Does the applicant demonstrate appropriate due diligence to ensure no alternative sources of revenue could be obtained and utilized for project financing? Y / N
5. Does the applicant demonstrate the overall economic viability and feasibility of the project? Y / N
6. Does the applicant demonstrate that the project is feasible and cost-effective? Y / N
7. Does the applicant demonstrate the relationship between the proposed project and the overall EMS development needs within the State of Oklahoma, and show that proposed project will serve the public interest and welfare? Y / N

**Section Three:
Priority Point Requirements**

1. Deadline

Was the application submitted by September 1 of the proposed grant year? **Y / N**

2. Priority Points

(A) Statutory purposes:

Which of the following areas does the grant address?

- | | | |
|--|--------------|-------|
| 1. Funding assessment activities | (50 points) | _____ |
| 2. Stabilization / reorganization of at-risk EMS | (100 points) | _____ |
| 3. Development of regional EMS | (50 points) | _____ |
| 4. Training for emergency medical director | (50 points) | _____ |
| 5. Access to training front line EMS personnel | (100 points) | _____ |
| 6. Capital and equipment need | (50 points) | _____ |
| Total points for statutory purposes | | _____ |

(B) Multiple jurisdictions

Are multiple jurisdictions addressed? **Y / N**

If so, indicate the type and number of the largest type of jurisdictions:

- | | | |
|--|--------------|-------|
| 1. Two cities or towns: | (25 points) | _____ |
| 2. Three cities or towns: | (50 points) | _____ |
| 3. County wide: | (100 points) | _____ |
| 4. Multi-county: | (150 points) | _____ |
| 5. State wide: | (200 points) | _____ |
| Total points for multiple jurisdictions | | _____ |

(C) Population Density:

Indicate the population density of the project area, based on the following scale:

1. 5,000.0 to 8,968.1: 0 points
2. 1,000.0 to 4,999.9: 10 points
3. 200.0 to 999.9: 20 points
4. 79.6 to 199.9: 30 points
5. 30.0 to 79.5: 40 points
6. 10.0 to 29.9: 50 points
7. Less than 10.0: 100 points

Total Population Points: _____

(D) Distance to Trauma Center

Indicate the submitted average distance from a point within the described project area to the nearest level or II trauma centers, as follows:

1. 0-25 miles: 0 points
2. 25-49 miles: 10 points
3. 50-74 miles: 20 points
4. 75-99 miles: 30 points
5. 100-124 miles: 40 points
6. 125-149 miles: 50 points
7. 150 miles and over: 100 points

Total Distance Points: _____

(E) EMTs per Capita

Indicate the number of resident licensed EMTs as recorded by the Department, as follows:

1. 100 or more EMTs 0 points
2. 50-99 EMTs: 20 points
3. 25-49 EMTs: 40 points
4. 0-24 EMTs: 60 points

Total EMT Points: _____

(F) Amount of grant requested (AR)

Indicate the points for the grant amount requested as follows:

1. \$400,001 to \$500,000 -50 points
2. \$300,001 to \$400,000 -40 points
3. \$200,001 to \$300,000 -30 points
4. \$100,001 to \$200,000 -20 points
5. \$100,000, and under 0 points
6. \$80,000 to \$99,999 10 points
7. \$60,000 to \$79,999 20points
8. \$40,000 to \$59,999 30 points
9. \$20,000 to \$39,999 50 points

Total AR Points: _____

G. ~~Project Benefit to Other Systems (BP)~~

~~Does the project has a clear benefit to other Oklahoma EMS systems? Y / N~~

~~If yes: _____ 50 points~~

G. Project Matching (PM). If the application proposes the use of matching funds, points shall be awarded consistent with the following formula:

i.	90% of the requested funds	90 points.
ii.	80% of the requested funds	80 points.
iii.	70% of the requested funds	70 points.
iv.	60% of the requested funds	60 points.
v.	50% of the requested funds	50 points.
vi.	40% of the requested funds	40 points.
vii.	30% of the requested funds	30 points.
viii.	20% of the requested funds	20 points.
ix.	10% of the requested funds	10 points

Total PM Points

8. Previous grant assistance (PG).

A. If awarded, would the requested funding result in total awards of more than \$500,000 in OERSSIF grant assistance to this applicant in any twelve (12) month period , or for any single project?

Y / N

If yes, the project is ineligible for funding.

B. Deduct points for previous assistance as follows:

- i One (1) funded project in the preceding twelve (12) month period; -80 points.
- ii More than one (1) OSSERIF project in the preceding twelve (12) month period: -100 points.
- iii One (1) OSSERIF funded project more than twelve (12) months in the past; -50 points.
- iv Two (2) OSSERIF funded projects more than twelve (12) months in the past: -80 points.
- v Three (3) OSSERIF funded projects more than twelve (12) months in the past: -100 points.
- vi Four (4) OSSERIF funded projects more than twelve (12) months in the past: -150 points.
- vii Five (5) or more OSSERIF funded projects more than twelve (12) months in the past: -175 points.

- viii If the qualified entity has received a previous OSSERIF funding for a project that remains un-audited or for which any

refund has not been paid as of August 31st, the application will be given -50 points for each such funded project.

Total PG Points: _____

Total Points for Section One: _____

Section Four
Review and Evaluation Requirements

1. Does the applicant list criteria by which the Department will evaluate the success of the project?

Y / N

2. Does the applicant include specific, objective metrics e.g., an improvement in the number of EMTs within a region or a decline in provider response times to medical emergencies?

Y / N

3. Does the applicant give a clear methodology for computing performance measures, such as comparing the status of the metrics at the beginning and end of the project?

Y / N

4. Does the applicant provide Benchmarks for performance measures for each of the following assessment levels?

- (A) Significantly improved
- (B) Improved
- (C) Not Improved
- (D) Worsened

Y / N