

March 6, 2012
Public Hearing
Proposed Permanent Rule Changes
Council on Law Enforcement Education and Training
K.O. Rayburn Training Center
2401 Egypt Road, Ada, Oklahoma
10:00 a.m.

MINUTES

Staff Present:

Catherine Edwards, Paralegal
Norma Floyd, Administrative Assistant
Kelly James, Field Representative
Laurie Schweinle, Recording Secretary
Chris Sutterfield, Chief of Operations
James Wilson, General Counsel

1. Opening and Introductions

At 10:03 a.m. Mr. James Wilson called the meeting to order. He stated that he did not see any members of the public present and identified CLEET staff that were present, including Norma Floyd, Kelly James, Laurie Schweinle, and himself.

2. Discussion of the Proposed Rule Changes

Mr. Wilson read the proposed change to 390:1-1-4 and explained that this was to bring the rule in compliance with a statutory change made in 2011 concerning entities wishing to add a police department. He then asked for comment and receiving none, moved on to the next change.

Mr. Wilson read the proposed change to 390:1-1-6. He explained that this was merely housekeeping matter and was related to keeping the rule in compliance with statutes regarding the Oklahoma Open Records Act without referencing specific statutes.

Catherine Edwards entered the meeting at 10:05 a.m.

He then asked for comment and receiving none, moved on to the next change.

Mr. Wilson read the proposed change to 390:2-1-2 and explained this change was to update outdated language related to disciplinary proceedings at CLEET. He then asked for comment and receiving none, moved on to the next change.

Mr. Wilson read the proposed change to 390:10-1-5. He explained that this would change

the language in the rule from referring to an officer as “inactive” to “not been employed as a full-time officer in Oklahoma.” It would also change the time limit to retake the refresher examination from five business days to ten business days. He then asked for comment and receiving none, moved on to the next change.

Mr. Wilson read the proposed change to rule 390:10-1-7. He explained that this change is intended to comply with the statute referencing the \$150 reinstatement fee.

Chris Sutterfield entered the meeting at 10:07 a.m.

He then asked for comment and receiving none, moved on to the next change.

Mr. Wilson read the proposed change to rule 390:15-1-13. He stated that this would change the timeline for when an agency must ask for firearms proficiency testing. It also requires that the student must retake the firearms block if they do not complete additional training. He then asked for comment and receiving none, moved on to the next change.

Mr. Wilson read the proposed change to 390:15-1-18. He stated that the change was a housekeeping measure and was updating some words that did not need to be capitalized. He then asked for comment and receiving none, moved on to the next change.

Mr. Wilson then read the proposed change to 390:15-3-7. He explained this rule applied to COP students and would remove the language requiring a score of 70% or higher to pass other course offerings and replace it with language stating that the standard would be established by CLEET. He then asked for comment and receiving none, moved on to the next change.

Mr. Wilson read the proposed change to 390:15-3-8. He explained that this change applies to the qualification examination for COP students. It would add the word “examination” to clarify the first subsection and change section (e) to say the standard would be established by CLEET rather than require a score of 70%. He then asked for comment and receiving none, moved on to the next change.

Mr. Wilson read the proposed change to 390:20-1-15 and explained it was in reference to the reinstatement fee. He then asked for comment and receiving none, moved on to the next change.

Mr. Wilson read the proposed change to 390:25-1-14 and explained that it would bring the rule into compliance with the statute requiring the reinstatement fee. He then asked for comment. Chris Sutterfield advised Mr. Wilson that he had missed rule 390:20-1-5, and Mr. Wilson stated that if there were no comments on the current rule being discussed, he would go back to the previous rule.

Mr. Wilson then read the proposed change to rule 390:20-1-5 and explained that it was a change to make the language of the rule gender neutral. He then asked for comment and receiving none, moved on to the next change.

Mr. Wilson read the proposed change for 390:27-1-5. He stated that the change would allow the use of the CLEET number on firearms requalification reports. Language would also be changed to say “verification of a passing score” instead of “a ‘pass’ or ‘fail’ score.” He then asked for comment and receiving none, moved on to the next change.

Mr. Wilson then read the proposed change to 390:27-1-6. He explained that this change was to bring the rule into compliance with state law requiring the reinstatement fee. He then asked for comment and receiving none, moved on to the next change.

Mr. Wilson read the proposed change to 390:30-1-6. He stated this would change the time allowed to retest a canine team from sixty to seven days. He then asked for comment and receiving none, moved on to the next change.

Mr. Wilson read the proposed change to 390:31-1-3 and explained this change would add National Tactical Police Dog Association, International Police Work Dog Association, and National Police Canine Association to the list of acceptable entities to perform canine team certification and recertification tests. He then asked for comment and receiving none, moved on to the next change.

Mr. Wilson then read the proposed change to 390:31-1-5. This change would add the National Tactical Police Dog Association, International Police Work Dog Association, and National Police Canine Association to the list of agencies or organizations that are able to conduct certification and annual recertification tests for bomb detector canine teams. He then asked for comment and receiving none, moved on to the next change.

Mr. Wilson then read the proposed change to 390:55-1-11. He explained this change would allow a \$2.00 fee to be charged to those who lose their PVC nametag while attending a course or event at CLEET and that the payment would be made to CLEET before certifications of completion would be granted. He then asked for comment and receiving none, moved on to the next change.

Mr. Wilson read the proposed rule change to 390:55-1-12. He stated that this would allow outside catered events or food service if approved by the contracted vendor providing cafeteria services to CLEET. He then asked for comment and receiving none, moved on to the next change.

3. Comments from Visitors

There were no comments.

4. Adjournment

Mr. Wilson adjourned the meeting at 10:16 a.m.